



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance -

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.43, No.20

May 25th, 2007

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: "Nobody has ever seen Society do any of the things which Society is commonly said to do. When anyone has been said to be a witness of the vengeance of Society, inflicted upon one or more of its members, what was actually seen was a hangman, a rope, a support for a rope, a moveable platform, a superintending officer, a stopwatch and a victim... No one has made it clearer than Douglas in the third Chapter of 'Social Credit', and elsewhere.

In the work cited he says:- "One of the first facts to be observed as part of the social ideal... is the elevation of the group ideal and the minimising of individuality, i.e., the treatment of individuality as subordinate to, e.g., nationality. The manifestations of this idea are almost endless... the national idea, the class or international idea, the identification of the individual with the race, the school, the regiment, the profession, and so forth... The shifting of emphasis from the individual to the group, which is involved in collectivism, logically involves the shifting of responsibility for action.... The individual killing of one man by another we term murder. But collective and wholesale killing, we dignify by the name of war, and we specifically absolve the individual from the consequences of any acts committed under the orders of a superior officer..."

– Hewlett Edwards in "Elements of Social Credit", 1946

BLAIR'S 'SWANSONG' from Felicity Arbuthnot: As presented on Australian TV, PM Tony Blair's 'swansong' was a grand affair, and there appeared to be genuine regret at his approaching departure from politics. Surrounded by the party-faithful at the Trimdon Labour Club in his Sedgefield constituency he was enthusiastically lauded as he out-performed his usual public media performances. But all was not as it seemed. British journalist Felicity Arbuthnot (Global Research) presented her readers with a far different view: She wrote:

"As Blair announced his departure (allegedly, finally pushed, by a finally desperate Party after his support for the bombardment of Lebanon) David Keogh, a parliamentary researcher and Leo O'Connor a journalist, were jailed for six months for their part in passing a classified paper to an anti war M.P., detailing, allegedly, a conversation between George Bush and Tony Blair, on the 16th April 2004, when, it is alleged, they discussed the bombing of Al Jazeera, in U.S. ally Qatar. The truth tellers [were] jailed and – so far – those who have done nothing but the opposite, walk free.

Sir Roderick Braithwaite, former Senior Advisor to Blair wrote (2/8/06, *Financial Times*) that there

In this issue: • *Thought For The Week* • *Blair's 'Swansong'* • *Zimbabwean And African Meltdown* • *A Short History Of Immigration Madness* • *Multiculturalist Murmers* • *Asianisation To Sink Johnny Howard* • *Our First 'Chinese' Prime Minister*

were senior diplomats in the Foreign and Commonwealth Office and those in the Ministry of Defence who: 'would not be too upset if (Blair) was tried as a war criminal.'

There was a lone cheerleader for Blair in London, on Friday, Iraq's 'President' Jalal Talabani, who hailed him as a 'hero' – but, as others in the corrupt puppet government, the British and U.S. troops are all that stand between his head and his shoulders.

Anti war MP George Galloway, who hosts a radio programme, told his listeners on Trimdon day, that he had ordered an eco friendly car and told the dealer to paint it black: 'The colour of Blair's heart'.

On the same day BBC Radio 5 did an unofficial poll of the reactions of their listeners. Seventy-two percent of their comments were summed up succinctly by one listener, who emailed : "Good riddance." Felicity Arbuthnot's full article is placed on the League's website under section: OnTarget Britain titled: "Tony Blair: but don't break out the champagne just yet".

ZIMBABWEAN AND AFRICAN MELTDOWN by Peter West: Why so much concern from writers in this journal about multiculturalism-style issues? Why not full-power social credit and economic issues? Well, it is of course true that monetary reform is the key to our ultimate survival, but in the short term, survival is the key. If there is a 'conspiracy' – or even an open war against White survival, then unless this issue is understood and addressed, then all of our social building efforts may only be for the benefit of those who inherit our world once the dust settles, perhaps the Chinese or fundamentalist Islam. I don't think this is what Major Douglas had in mind.

The media has devoted a reasonable amount of space to Zimbabwe's President Robert Mugabe as he attempted to secure his political power until he is 89 (he is presently 83). This attempt has involved "stacking" the central committee which makes presidential nominations, as well as arresting, beating and torturing opposition activists. And – bingo – Mugabe has been re-endorsed as presidential candidate for the 2008 one person/democracy "election".

Bob the Mug has even denounced his vice president Joyce Mujuru, also known during the "liberation war" by her *non de guerre* as "Spill Blood".

"Spill Blood" complained about Mugabe's "paranoid delusions", which is putting it mildly.

The country is already living with daily economic chaos, with little food and fuel available. Sanctions and international condemnation of Mugabe came, not from the allowing of blacks to kill White farmers, but sadly from the crackdown on political opponents. It seems anti-White racism is to be internationally accepted. Mug has told the West to "go hang" and no doubt he could show us how. Meanwhile the leaders of the Southern African Development Community, leaders of 14 Southern African countries expressed its "solidarity with the Government and the people of Zimbabwe" ("African Leaders Want Mugabe Sanctions Lifted", *The Weekend Australian*, 31/3-1/4/07, p.13).

They wanted sanctions, imposed by the West lifted. They did not explain how one could have solidarity with Mugabe, and also those he tortured: talk about two bob each way! But of course, ultimately, their solidarity was with Mugabe and not the mythical 'people'.

Many of these countries also have appalling human rights records, and now, following the racial principle (oppose Whites, support Blacks) they are endorsing a dictator to continue to torture and kill, mostly Black folk, but some Whites too. As Janet Albrechtsen, one of the few Australian journalists to take Mugabe to task has said: "His leadership... seems to have inspired a unique African racism, blacks killing blacks... ethnic cleansing was part of Mugabe's political repertoire."

Another journalist, U.K. "gay activist" Peter Tatchell (cited to give an illustration on the extent of the problem) wrote in *The Independent* (cited *The Australian*, 27/3/07, p.15):

"Large sections of the liberal and left opinion have gone soft on their commitment to universal human rights. They rightly condemn the excesses of British and U.S. government policy but rarely speak out against oppressors who are non-white or adherents of minority faiths. There are no mass protests against female genital mutilation, forced marriages, the stoning of women and gender apartheid in the Middle East."

"President Robert Mugabe has massacred more black Africans than P.W. Botha in South Africa. In contrast to the global anti-apartheid movement, there are no world-wide protests to support the Zimbabwean-struggle for democracy. Why does a black tyrant murdering black people merit less outrage than a white tyrant murdering black people?"

A good question from a "gay activist," apart from accepting the standard liberal-left nonsense that Botha "murdered" blacks. Consider South Africa today under black rule. *The Australian Beacon* (TAB) (Issue 20, 2007, p.5) reports on "Operation White Clean-up", which is a plan by the police and army to eliminate all White people on the death of Nelson Mandela. The ANC slogan coined by ANC Peter Mokaba, "Kill the Boer, kill the farmer" was found by the South African Human Rights Commission not to be hate speech, but an expression of "the constitutional right to free speech." (TAB p.12).

But image the different response if Afrikaners started chanting "Kill the Xhosas, kill the blacks", at the next funeral of a white farmer and his family murdered by blacks.

In South Africa, attacks on white farmers continues unabated. Since 1994, the year the ANC took power, 1,334 white farmers, farm workers and their families have been murdered. According to President Thabo Mbeki of South Africa, these farm murders are "the final stage of the revolution" (cited *The Australian Beacon*, p.12).

A SHORT HISTORY OF IMMIGRATION MADNESS by Brian Simpson: Philippe Legrain's book, "Immigrants: Your Country Needs Them" (Little Brown, 2007) is yet another book in support of all the great myths of the immigration lobby. For Legrain immigration is so great a benefit that opposition requires psychological explanation. For Legrain, basically all nations are racially polyglots if one pushes far enough back into time. So at one point he asks, "to what extent is Britishness even relevant nowadays." For Legrain taking even low-skilled people in, is no problem at all. Nor is the threat of terrorism a worry in relation to Islamic immigration.

Rather than going through the boring process of citing authorities to refute the "economic argument", the "ageing argument", let's go another way.

Legrain's arguments against ethnicity apply equally to non-White nations and to Israel. Israel by his logic should forget about ethnicity, etc., and take in say, 30 million Africans, 100 million South East Asians, just for starters! Remember: It is one world, ethnicity doesn't count, and there are no environmental limits to growth. Repeat the same experiment for Japan and China. If they do it, then we can consider going down the Legrain line.

Oh, and why not move a few hundred refugees to Mr. Legrain's own house? Won't that improve his productivity? Further, if population increases are so good, then why not keep the potential migrants in their home country – or how about us moving en masse to the standard migration countries? Legrain's thesis is incoherent.

MULTICULTURALIST MURMERS by James Reed: Geoff Gallop, former West Australian premier and now director of the Graduate School of Government at the University of Sydney ("Freedom Based on Tolerance", *The Australian*, 4/4/07, p.36) also re-circulates tired old arguments for multiculturalism. He of course recognises that multiculturalism has its critics, but for him,

multiculturalism is based upon democratic values such as equality and human rights. Really? So when did a vote take place on multiculturalism? Would Anglo Australians in 1945 have let it all happen if by time travel they could see the streets of Melbourne and Sydney today? What about the democratic values of Anglo-Australians to have chosen not to embrace multiculturalism?

In an article by Katherine Betts and Michael Gilding ("The Growth Lobby and Australia's Immigration Policy", *People and Place*, vol.14, 2006), the authors discuss the power of a pro-immigration lobby based around housing, land development and construction. These authors deal with the impact of this lobby on the Howard government in 1996 to 1999. Nevertheless it is clear that this lobby has been strong throughout the entire post World War II period, and after 1947 has been increasingly dominated by ethnics. It is now a self-perpetuating machine that has been long out of control.

If the immigration problem cannot be dealt with, forget about solving the economic problem and creating a social credit world. Immigration ties us to globalisation and breaks down the national unity necessary for resisting the new world order.

ASIANISATION TO SINK JOHNNY HOWARD by Peter West: James Reed should look on the bright side: it would appear that Asian-born voters will decide the fate of John Howard at the next election, according to multiculturalist George Megalogenis (*The Australian*, 27/2/07, p.11). Whilst Howard held the seat of Bennelong in 1996 by 10.2 per cent, he now holds it by just 4 per cent. In electorates next door, the new-coming Asian migrants, whom Johnny Howard has let in, in record numbers (whilst hoodwinking sleepy conservatives that he is doing something about immigration), are voting for the party that looks after them even better – Labor. Asian immigration has transformed Howard's seat from a safe one to a marginal one. Demography and the racial mix will play a big part in ending the career of little John.

In comes something even worse – the ABCish, ever politically correct Maxine McKew, and of course, a party of clones.

But will Kevin Rudd and the girls really be worse? I personally look forward to the end of the Australian neo-con experiment. During this time our people went to sleep. The anti-immigration movement was destroyed. Howard used illegal immigrants and a so-called 'tough policy', to divert attention from an immigration programme that was over 70 per cent Asian some years. So let the Howard illusion end. Let Rudd and company hit us with the "Left" fist now – because we need it.

Let independents answer the call and may what remains of our Australia, vote for them against the hollow men and women of the new world order. Bring it on girl friends!

OUR FIRST 'CHINESE' PRIME MINISTER by James Reed: I agree with Peter West that we should look forward to the end of John Howard. However Mandarin-speaking pro-China Rudd may be a worse Asianiser than Howard. One of the iron laws of social decay is that Australia's PMs get progressively worse. As bad as Keating was, Howard is worse because he gave to superficial viewers the illusion of being on-side.

Rudd will move Asianisation into Chinese-isation. Even some in the media are nervous about these prospects (e.g., Dennis Shanahan, "One-Man Band Rudd Risky as China's Mate", *The Australian*, 13/4/07, p.14).

Rudd will be our first Chinese PM and will lay the foundation for Australia being a colony of the New Chinese empire. *That* is where Asianisation ends.